

Review Reversing the Paradigm: Protein Kinase C as a Tumor Suppressor

Alexandra C. Newton^{1,*} and John Brognard^{2,3,*}

The discovery in the 1980s that protein kinase C (PKC) is a receptor for the tumor-promoting phorbol esters fueled the dogma that PKC is an oncoprotein. Yet 30+ years of clinical trials for cancer using PKC inhibitors not only failed, but in some instances worsened patient outcome. The recent analysis of cancer-associated mutations, from diverse cancers and throughout the PKC family, revealed that PKC isozymes are generally inactivated in cancer, supporting a tumor suppressive function. In keeping with a *bona fide* tumor suppressive role, germline causal loss-of-function (LOF) mutations in one isozyme have recently been identified in lymphoproliferative disorders. Thus, strategies in cancer treatment should focus on restoring rather than inhibiting PKC.

The PKC Family

PKC is a family of enzymes whose members play a pivotal role in cell signaling. PKC isozymes transduce the plethora of signals resulting from receptor-mediated hydrolysis of phospholipids, playing critical roles in diverse cellular functions including controlling the balance between cell survival and cell death [1-4]. There are nine genes in mammals that encode PKC family members, with an even greater number of isozymes when one includes splice variants. Family members are classified into three subfamilies based on their cofactor dependence (Box 1 and Figure 1 in Box 1): conventional PKC isozymes [α , β (with two common splice variants, β] and β II), and γ] are activated by diacylglycerol and Ca²⁺, novel PKC isozymes (δ , ϵ , η , and θ) are activated by diacylglycerol alone, and atypical PKC isozymes (ζ and ι) are regulated by proteinprotein interactions. All isozymes are processed by a series of ordered phosphorylations and conformational transitions to yield a signaling-competent enzyme that is maintained in an autoinhibited conformation until binding of the correct second messengers (or protein scaffolds for atypical PKC isozymes [5]) [6,7] (see Figure 1 for the 'life cycle' of a conventional PKC). This processing depends on the kinase complex mTORC2 for most PKC isozymes (including all of the conventional PKC isozymes) and PDK-1 for all PKC isozymes; in the absence of phosphorylation, diacylglycerol-sensitive PKC isozymes are in an open and proteolytically labile conformation. Thus, mechanisms that prevent phosphorylation (such as depletion of PDK-1 or inhibition of mTORC2) prevent the accumulation of conventional and novel PKC isozymes in the cell. Following phosphorylation, PKC isozymes are activated by agonist binding to the diacylglycerol-sensing C1 domain and, in the case of conventional PKC isozymes, Ca²⁺ binding to the Ca²⁺-sensing C2 domain. This leads to conformational changes that break intramolecular contacts to 'open' PKC, resulting in the release of an autoinhibitory pseudosubstrate segment from the substrate-binding cavity to permit substrate phosphorylation. The open conformation of PKC is sensitive to dephosphorylation and subsequent degradation (Figure 1). Thus, the signaling output of PKC is critically dependent on: (i) the rate of catalysis determined by the architecture of the kinase domain; (ii) allosteric mechanisms that reversibly control the 'closed'

Trends

Functional characterization of somatic mutations in the protein kinase C (PKC) family of kinases reveals that a majority of mutations are loss of function (LOF) and no mutations are gain of function (GOF), reversing the paradigm that PKC isozymes are oncogenes.

Germline LOF mutations in PKCô are associated with cancer-like syndromes including lymphoproliferative disorders and juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus, further supporting the role of PKC isozymes as tumor suppressors.

LOF mutant PKC isoforms act in a dominant-negative manner to suppress PKC signaling.

These studies contribute to a larger theme in the field that many kinases will have tumor suppressive roles and inactivating mutations in kinases will be prevalent in cancer.

¹Department of Pharmacology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0721, USA ²Laboratory of Cell and Developmental Signaling, National Cancer Institute at Frederick, Frederick, MD 21702, USA ³Cancer Research UK Manchester Institute, Manchester, UK

*Correspondence:

anewton@ucsd.edu (A.C. Newton) and john.brognard@nih.gov (J. Brognard).

CellPress

Box 1. The PKC Family

The nine PKC isozymes share a common architecture of an N-terminal regulatory moiety that constrains the catalytic activity of a C-terminal kinase domain (Figure I). All PKC isozymes have an autoinhibitory pseudosubstrate segment (red) that occupies the substrate-binding cavity in the inactive conformation. It is released from the substrate-binding cavity following the engagement of various modules with appropriate second messengers or scaffolds, thus permitting substrate phosphorylation and downstream signaling. These modules are the C1 (orange), C2 (yellow), and PB1 (lavender) domains. The C1 domain serves as a diacylglycerol sensor in the conventional and novel PKC isozymes, but not in the atypical PKC isozymes because their C1 domain (striped) has a ring of basic residues surrounding the binding cleft that precludes ligand binding. The stoichiometry of binding of diacylglycerol, or its functional analogs the phorbol esters, is one mole of ligand per mole of PKC, revealing that only one of the tandem C1 domains binds ligand in the context of the full-length protein. A single amino acid difference from Tyr (Y in yellow circle) to Trp (W in yellow circle) in the C1B domain increases the affinity of novel PKC isozymes for diacylglycerol by two orders of magnitude compared with conventional PKC isozymes. This allows novel PKC isozymes to respond to agonist-evoked increases in diacylglycerol alone without the need for increases in intracellular Ca²⁺. The C2 domain in conventional PKC isozymes serves as a Ca²⁺ sensor that targets these PKC isozymes to the plasma membrane via a recognition motif for phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂), a lipid localized to the plasma membrane. Although novel PKC isozymes have a C2 domain (striped), it lacks key Asp residues that coordinate Ca²⁺ and thus is not a Ca²⁺ sensor. The PB1 domain present in atypical PKC isozymes, which respond to neither Ca²⁺ nor diacylglycerol, mediates binding to protein scaffolds - interactions that promote release of the pseudosubstrate to allow localized signaling. The kinase domain (cyan) is regulated by three post-translational phosphorylations that 'process' PKC isozymes into mature, autoinhibited, and stable species. PDK-1 catalyzes phosphorylation on the activation loop (pink circle), a segment at the entrance to the active site; this event triggers two tightly coupled phosphorylations on the C-terminal tail at positions termed the turn motif (orange) and the hydrophobic motif (green). These C-terminal phosphorylations depend on the kinase activity of PKC and also on mTORC2; whether mTORC2 directly phosphorylates these sites or whether it functions as a chaperone to allow newly synthesized PKC to adopt a phosphorylatable conformation remains to be determined. Note that atypical PKC isozymes differ in that their phosphorylation at the turn motif is co-translationally modified by ribosome-bound mTORC2 and that they have an acidic residue (Glu) at the hydrophobic motif. Cancer-associated LOF mutations have been identified throughout the PKC family and throughout the domain structure.



(autoinhibited) and 'open' (active) conformations of the kinase; and (iii) the steady-state levels of the enzyme. The complex nature of its regulation allows multiple ways to either enhance or impair PKC activity.

Phorbol Esters

The milky sap exuded by the plant *Croton tiglium* has been used over millennia for various purposes ranging from poison arrows to cathartic treatments. Following the identification of phorbol esters as the active ingredient, models of skin carcinogenesis quickly established that phorbol esters are potent tumor promoters [8]. Painting subthreshold amounts of a carcinogen such as DMBA on mouse skin had no effect, but if this was followed by closely spaced (twice per week) and repeated applications of phorbol esters, papillomas developed (reviewed in [3]). The quest then began to find 'the receptor' for phorbol esters. This presented a challenge given the highly lipophilic nature of the compounds, which results in non-saturable binding to cell

Glossary

Downregulation: decreased steadystate levels of a protein that can result from decreased transcription, destabilizing mutations, or altered post-translational modification leading to increased protein degradation. With reference to PKC, downregulation signifies the loss of protein following prolonged treatment with phorbol esters.

Gain-of-function (GOF) mutation:

a mutation that results in increased function of a protein or the gain of a new molecular activity. These mutations are generally missense mutations that eliminate mechanisms of inhibition resulting in constitutive activation of the protein.

Germline mutation: inherited mutation that occurs in germ cells and is present in a majority of cells in the human body.

Loss-of-function (LOF) mutation:

a mutation that results in decreased protein expression or compromised protein function. LOF mutations can be missense mutations that result in a change in a single amino acid but are more commonly indels (insertions or deletions) or nonsense mutations that introduce a stop codon early in the gene.

Neomorphic mutation: a mutation that leads to loss of the normal function of a protein and gain of a novel molecular function that will promote phenotypes associated with cancer.

Oncogene: a gene that harbors frequent GOF mutations or has increased expression in cancer leading to the acquisition of phenotypes associated with cancer, such as increased proliferation or survival.

Oncoprotein: the protein product of an oncogene.

Somatic mutation: a mutation acquired in a cell from damage to DNA that is then passed on through cell division.

Tumor suppressor: a gene that harbors frequent LOF mutations or deletions in cancer; generally acts to suppress proliferation and growth or promote cell death under certain physiological conditions.

CellPress



Trends in Pharmacological Sciences

Figure 1. Cartoon Showing the Multiple Inputs Regulating the Function of a Conventional Protein Kinase C (PKC). Newly synthesized PKC is processed by a series of ordered phosphorylations that depend on the binding of Hsp90 to a conserved PXXP motif in the kinase domain [76], the kinase complex mTORC2 [77], and PDK-1 (reviewed in [6]). Phosphorylation at three priming sites - the activation loop, the turn motif, and the hydrophobic motif - allow PKC to adopt an autoinhibited conformation in which the Ca²⁺-sensing C2 domain (yellow) clamps the autoinhibitory pseudosubstrate segment (red) in the substrate-binding cavity of the kinase domain (cyan) and the diacylglycerol (DG)-sensing C1 domains (orange) become masked. On elevation of intracellular Ca²⁺, the C2 domain engages with the plasma membrane via Ca²⁺ coordination with anionic lipids and the binding of plasma membrane-localized phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂) to a surface on the domain. This positions PKC to bind its membrane-embedded ligand DG, an event that releases the pseudosubstrate for maximal activation of PKC. This active PKC phosphorylates downstream substrates such as K-RAS to suppress oncogenic signaling. The open conformation of PKC is sensitive to dephosphorylation, with the first event being dephosphorylation of the hydrophobic motif catalyzed by PH domain leucine-rich repeat protein phosphatase (PHLPP); subsequent dephosphorylation by PP2A produces a fully dephosphorylated PKC that is shunted for degradation by a proteasomal pathway. However, binding of HSP70 to the dephosphorylated turn motif allows PKC to become rephosphorylated to sustain the signaling lifetime of the enzyme. Phorbol esters (not shown) bind with two orders of magnitude greater affinity than DG (highlighted in yellow) to the C1B domain and are not readily metabolized, trapping PKC in the open, active conformation and resulting in chronic loss, or downregulation, of PKC. Novel PKC isozymes are regulated by similar mechanisms except that their C2 domain does not function as a Ca²⁺ sensor. Atypical PKC isozymes are activated on binding to specific protein scaffolds that tether the pseudosubstrate out of the substrate-binding cavity. Proteins indicated in grey are key regulators of the steady-state level of PKC: HSP70, HSP90, mTORC2, and PDK-1 function to increase the steady-state level by permitting processing phosphorylation and PHLPP and PP2A decrease it by catalyzing the dephosphorylation of PKC. Targeting any of these proteins in cancer treatments will disrupt the balance of PKC signaling.

membranes. A conceptual breakthrough was made by Peter Blumberg, who synthesized phorbol esters with shorter acyl chains to decrease their lipophilicity [9]. These molecules now bound to cells in a saturable manner, allowing the identification of PKC as a high-affinity receptor for phorbol esters [10]. While we now understand that phorbol esters bind the C1 domain, a module present in various other signaling proteins [3], their most famous receptor is PKC. The tumor-promoting properties of phorbol esters, coupled with the assumption that kinases are **oncoproteins** (see Glossary), set in motion the idea that PKC isozymes are oncoproteins. Thus began considerable efforts to target PKC in cancer with inhibitors [11]. However, these efforts were largely unsuccessful. Indeed, a meta-analysis of five clinical trials for non-small cell lung carcinoma revealed that patient outcome worsened when PKC inhibitors were combined with chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy alone [12]. Why the disconnect?



Like the physiological agonist diacylglycerol, phorbol esters bind the C1 domain but, unlike diacylglycerol, they are not readily metabolized and result in constitutive activation of PKC. In its open and active conformation, PKC is sensitive to dephosphorylation [catalyzed by PH domain leucine-rich repeat protein phosphatase (PHLPP) at the hydrophobic motif and PP2A phosphatases at the turn motif and activation loop] and subsequent degradation (Figure 1). Thus, while phorbol esters result in acute activation of PKC, this is followed by the chronic loss, or downregulation, of PKC [13]. In the era preceding genetic knockdown, overnight treatment with phorbol esters was a common and effective method to deplete cells of PKC. In the paradigm described above for carcinogen-induced tumor promotion, daily application of phorbol esters would be expected to cause a loss of PKC. Supporting this, prolonged infusion with bryostatins - marine natural products that, like phorbol esters, downregulate PKC [14] resulted in a reduction in the levels of PKC α , PKC ϵ , and PKC η in peripheral blood monocytes of patients with advanced metastatic cancer [15]. Although PKC is the best-characterized target of phorbol esters, it should be noted that they have targets in addition to PKC [16] and, furthermore, induce local inflammatory responses, functions that are likely to also contribute to their tumor-promoting properties. Nonetheless, one might ask whether the tumor-promoting properties of phorbol esters result, in part, from the loss of PKC.

PKC in Cancer

So what actually happens in cancer? Analysis of approximately 50 of the now >1000 mutations in PKC isozymes identified in diverse cancers has revealed that they are mostly LOF) [17]. Specifically, two-thirds of the cancer-associated mutations examined were inactivating and the remainder were inert. If one includes truncating mutations or indels (insertions or deletions), an even greater fraction is LOF, as truncating mutations or indels for each isozyme have been frequently reported in the 126 cancer genome studies in cBioPortal [18]. These are important observations in light of experiments showing haploinsufficiency for at least one isozyme, PKCB, in a colon cancer cell line. DLD1 colon cancer cells harbor a LOF mutation on one allele (A509T) of PKCB and its correction to wild type (WT) by genome editing suppressed anchorageindependent growth, a hallmark of cancer; however, deletion of the mutant allele to generate a hemizygous cell line resulted in intermediate suppression of anchorage-independent growth. This provides compelling evidence that indels or truncation mutations in PKC isozymes that lead to the loss of one functional copy of a specific PKC isozyme will enhance tumor growth [17]. Importantly, in this analysis of cancer-associated mutations not one gain-of-function (GOF) mutation was identified. Inactivation occurred by diverse mechanisms, such as impeding second messenger binding, preventing processing phosphorylation, or inhibiting catalysis. Additionally, there are numerous mutations in PKC family members that can be predicted with high confidence to be LOF since they occur in highly conserved motifs required for catalytic activity, such as the hallmark amino acid segments HRD, DFG, and APE [19]. A sample of these mutations is listed in Table 1. The recently developed software KinView, an interactive integrative visualization that annotates cancer-associated protein kinase mutations, has identified several additional LOF mutations in the PKC family, one of which in PKCBII was validated experimentally [20]. Neomorphic mutations in PKC may also redirect PKC away from its relevant substrates, not only subverting its normal function but also engaging novel signaling pathways. In this regard, mutations in PKC γ that alter substrate specificity have been identified in lung cancer samples [21]. Thus, cancer-associated mutations across the PKC family are generally LOF.

The majority of PKC LOF mutations are heterozygous, leaving one WT allele and raising interesting mechanistic questions about how these PKC LOF mutants promote tumorigenesis. One possibility is that PKC LOF mutants have unique functions, supported by observations that these mutants can suppress signaling by multiple PKC isoforms, discussed below; thus, they function in a 'super' dominant-negative manner by impacting the signaling of other gene

CelPress Mutation Motif Cancer DFG D481E Colorectal Melanoma A506V **A**PE Low-grade glioma A506T APE Melanoma D484N DFG Breast A509T **A**PE

A509T

A509V

D498N

K437T

F498V

D378N

D396E

D396E

E423D

E421K

APE

APE

DFG

VAIK

 $\mathsf{D}\textbf{F}\mathsf{G}$

HRD

DFG

DFG

APE

APE

Tabla	1	Sample	of	High_C	onfidence	Prodicted		Mutatione	in	PKC	leozyma	ac a
i able	1.	Sample	0I	nign-Co	Jundence	Predicted	LUF	iviulations	111	PhC	ISOZYITE	39.

^aA sample of mutations that occur in critical regions of the PKC kinase domain (VAIK, HRD, DFG, and APE motifs [19]) from various cancers. These are distinct from the characterized LOF mutations recently reported [17]. Data were extracted from cBioPortal [18].

Colorectal

Breast

Stomach

Stomach

Stomach

Pancreatic

Esophageal

Breast

Lung adenocarcinoma

Lung adenocarcinoma

^bMutated residues in bold.

Isozyme

PRKCA

PRKCA

PRKCA

PRKCB

PRKCB

PRKCB

PRKCB

PRKCG

PRKCE

PRKCH

PRKCI

PRKCI

PRKCI

PRKCI

PRKCZ

Sample

TCGA-AA-A010-01.1581

TCGA-EE-A2GC-06.3524

TCGA-FG-8191-01.186

TCGA-GF-A6C8-06.3531

HCT15_LARGE_INTESTINE

TCGA-BH-A0HB-01.1857

TCGA-BR-7716-01.2771

TCGA-F1-A448-01.2751

TCGA-BR-8687-01.2786

KYSE150_OESOPHAGUS

TCGA-05-4424-01.1371

TCGA-78-7155-01.1374

TCGA-E2-A15E-01.676

TCGA-IB-7651-01 691

HCC1500_BREAST

products. An evaluation of classical tumor suppressors demonstrates that often, when tumor suppressors such as p53 have one allele mutated and maintain a functional allele, the somatic variants are neomorphic (in this case the p53 mutants are now considered to be GOF mutants) [22-24]. In the case of PKC, it is possible that the mutant protein could act as a scaffold to sequester signaling components of other pathways, perhaps subverting them from their physiological function or altering their activity. A second possibility is that the WT allele is not lost to maintain a threshold of PKC signaling that is required to promote tumorigenesis, as it is well documented that complete loss or hyperactivation of signaling pathways can be detrimental to the process of tumorigenesis [25].

The importance of LOF mutations in PKC isozymes in promoting oncogenic signaling was demonstrated by correction of a mutant allele of $PKC\beta$ in a colon cancer-derived cell line; this not only suppressed anchorage-independent growth, noted above, but effectively suppressed tumor growth in a xenograft murine model [17]. This cell line also harbored an oncogenic mutation in K-RAS, underscoring the dominating tumor suppressive role of PKC even in the context of one of the most potent oncogenes. Potentially contributing to the strong survival advantage conferred by LOF mutations, many of the inactivating mutations characterized for PKC are dominant negative towards the global signaling output of other PKC isozymes. This dominant-negative effect on the activity of other PKC isozymes may result from the mutant PKC interfering with the phosphorylation of other PKC isozymes because their phosphorylation requires common titratable components (Figure 1). This was first reported by Parker and coworkers, who showed that expression of a PKC isozyme that could not be processed by phosphorylation impeded the accumulation of other PKC isozymes [26]. One potential candidate underlying the mechanism for the dominant-negative effect is PDK-1, which is required for the priming phosphorylation of all PKC isozymes and is reported to be present at 10 nM in HeLa cells, considerably below the sum concentration of all of the PKC isozymes (>100 nM) [27]. In the case of LOF mutations in cancer, one mutant allele of PKC β was shown to suppress the steady-state levels of another PKC isozyme, PKC α – suppression that was reversed on correction of the mutant allele to WT [17]. However, even truncation mutations or indels are likely to confer a survival advantage on cancer cells; as noted above, deletion of a mutant PKC β allele revealed haploinsufficiency. Thus, the steady-state levels of PKC tune the suppression of survival signaling pathways, suggesting that PKC levels may serve as a predictor for patient survival, as discussed below. The consensus emerging now is that PKC acts as a brake to oncogenic function, potentially by inactivating oncogenes and stabilizing tumor suppressors.

Bioinformatic and Clinical Insights into the Tumor Suppressive Function of PKC Isozymes

Analysis of the genes most frequently co-mutated with PKC isozymes identified a significant number of oncogenes [17]. K-RAS is a case in point. Its phosphorylation on Ser181 by PKC, leading to its release from the plasma membrane and relocalization to mitochondria where it was shown to promote apoptosis, was reported a decade ago [28]. Although phosphorylation on Ser181 was later reported to be necessary for tumor growth [29], a recent study by McCormick and colleagues supports a tumor suppressive function of this PKC-mediated phosphorylation, consistent with the earlier report. Strikingly, these authors showed that oral administration to mice of a weak PKC activator, prostratin (a weak phorbol ester [30]), repressed tumor formation in orthotopic models of human pancreatic cancer [31]. In addition to removing K-RAS from the membrane as described originally [28], cell-based studies revealed that the PKC-mediated phosphorylation of K-RAS inhibited the interaction of K-RAS with calmodulin, an interaction that results in reduced activity of CaM kinase and thus enhanced malignancy of pancreatic cells. The success of prostratin treatment in the animal model is likely to have reflected activation by the drug being sufficiently weak to prevent the degradation of PKC yet sufficient to enhance PKC activity for phosphorylation of K-RAS and subsequent suppression of oncogenic function. The co-occurrence of mutations in oncogenes such as K-Ras in tumors with LOF mutations in PKC supports a model in which the oncogene is kept in check by the strong tumor suppressive function of relevant PKC isozymes. In support of this, studies following the discovery of PKC identified an abundance of substrates that control growth factor and survival signaling. For example, inhibitory phosphorylation of the EGF receptor by PKC was reported by Hunter and colleagues over 30 years ago [32]. Thus, survival signaling following mutation of an oncogene may be strongly favored only when the braking action of PKC is lost. Such a mechanism is reminiscent of the two-step model for tumor promotion wherein a subthreshold amount of a carcinogen might induce an oncogenic mutation but this does not cause a tumor unless PKC is downregulated with repeated application of phorbol esters.

Clinical data provide strong support for a tumor suppressive role of PKC. Low levels of PKC α expression have recently been shown to predict poor outcome in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) [33]. Similarly, low levels of PKC β II protein predict poor outcome in colorectal cancer [34], and PKC β I, PKC β II, and PKC δ levels have been shown to be lower in high-grade and late-stage bladder cancer compared with normal, low-grade, or early-stage tissue [35–37]. Because the steady-state levels of PKC dictate its signaling output, reduced protein levels would result in reduced activity, supported by gene editing studies discussed above that reveal haploinsufficiency of at least one isozyme.

In hindsight it is unsurprising that cancer-associated mutations in PKC are LOF. The first cancer-associated mutation in a PKC isozyme, D294G in the C2 domain of PKC α , was identified by Joubert and colleagues over 20 years ago in three types of cancers and caused a clear LOF [38]. Numerous cell biological studies have suggested a tumor suppressive role for PKC α . For example, studies from Black and coworkers in the 1990s established a role for



PKC α in suppressing cell growth [39,40]. Levels of PKC protein are downregulated in 60% of human colorectal cancers [41]. Moreover, genetically engineered mice in which PKC α was deleted developed colon tumors [42]. Despite evidence supporting a tumor suppressive role for PKC, other studies supporting an oncogenic role, coupled with the tumor-promoting function of phorbol esters, created the dogma that PKC isozymes are oncoproteins [43].

Cementing the Role of PKC as a Tumor Suppressor

A hallmark of bona fide tumor-suppressing enzymes is the presence of germline mutations leading to the development of human proliferative disorders [44]. LOF mutations in classical tumor suppressors such as the lipid phosphatase PTEN and the kinase LKB1 (also known as STK11) are associated with early onset of human disorders with phenotypes associated with cancer [45,46]. For example, LOF mutations in the kinase LKB1 lead to the development of Peutz-Jeghers syndrome [45] associated with the development of colonic hamartomas. Therefore, it is expected that LOF mutations in PKC isozymes would be associated with the development of human proliferative disorders that are associated with the development of cancer. This is indeed the case: LOF mutations in PKCδ are causal in juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus (JSLE) [47] and autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome and lead to increased proliferation and resistance to apoptosis in immune cells [48-50]. JSLE patients often develop B cell lymphomas [51]. Of four germline LOF PKC8 mutations identified to date in JSLE and autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome, somatic mutations at one of the sites (Arg614) have also been identified in three different colorectal tumors (cBioPortal [18]). The frequent observation of somatic LOF mutations in PKC isozymes combined with the identification of germline causal mutations in lymphoproliferative disorders leaves little doubt about the importance of the tumor suppressive roles of PKC isozymes in cancer.

Exceptions to the Reversed Paradigm?

Although analysis of cancer-associated mutations in PKC is consistent with PKC isozymes generally serving as tumor suppressors, there may be cancer-specific and isozyme-specific contexts where that is not the case. Adult T Cell Leukemia (ATLL) may be one context where one isozyme, PKC β , may have an oncogenic function. The results of whole-genome and exome sequencing of ATLL patients have revealed that 33% of patients harbor mutations in PKC β , with a hotspot at Asp427 [52]. Overexpression studies reveal that mutation of this residue to Asn, one common change at this site, results in enhanced activation of PKC β as assessed by accelerated phorbol ester-dependent membrane translocation and enhanced NF- κ B transcription. These activating effects are, however, so great that it raises the question of whether this enhanced open conformation of PKC may promote downregulation of the mutant protein. Whether ATLL patients with activating mutations in PKC β maintain normal steady-state levels of this isozyme, or have reduced levels, remains to be determined.

Although no GOF mutations in cancer have been identified to date in PKC δ , this isozyme has roles in both survival and apoptotic pathways [3,53]. For example, PKC δ promotes tumor progression in pancreatic cancer [54] and PKC δ -deficient mice have an increased incidence of lung tumors [55]. Another novel PKC, PKC ϵ , may also have oncogenic roles, although no GOF mutations have been reported for this isozyme either. Transgenic mice overexpressing PKC ϵ in the prostate develop preneoplastic lesions [43] and, conversely, genetic ablation of this isozyme in a transgenic mouse model of prostate adenocarcinoma inhibits prostate cancer development and metastasis [56]. PKC ϵ expression is frequently elevated not only in prostate tumors but also in those of the breast and in other cancers [43]. Some studies support the possibility that breast cancer may be one context-specific cancer where PKC may function as an oncoprotein: Reyland and colleagues have shown that elevated PKC δ mRNA levels negatively correlate with prognosis in Erb2-positive breast cancer, with mouse models suggesting that it is required for ErbB2-driven mammary gland tumorigenesis [57]. An earlier study

CellPress

also reported elevated PKC δ in breast cancer, but in this case in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer [58]. However, evaluation of the mutational status of conventional and novel PKC isozymes in breast cancer suggests that PKC isozymes will be tumor suppressors in this cancer as well. Notably, there are several truncation and frameshift mutations observed in the genes of the conventional and novel PKC isozymes in human primary breast tumors. In addition, a previously characterized LOF mutation in PKC β , A509V [17], is also observed in an invasive breast cancer. Establishing whether PKC isozymes may play oncogenic roles in specific contexts in specific cancers awaits functional characterization of mutations in PKC isozymes in these cancers.

Atypical PKC isozymes should be considered as their own family as they are not regulated by the canonical diacylglycerol pathways. Rather, these enzymes have particularly low catalytic activity and their interaction on protein scaffolds tethers them in an open and active conformation near their protein substrates [5,59,60]. While LOF mutations have been identified in PKC χ [17,61] and PKC ι [62], the *PRKCI* gene is part of the 3q amplicon and considerable evidence supports a role for PKC ι as an oncoprotein [63]. Notably, Fields and coworkers have identified a clear oncogenic role for PKC ι in lung squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) [64,65]. They identified SOX2, a master transcriptional regulator of stemness, as a direct substrate of PKC ι and showed that phosphorylation of SOX2 is required for the expression of hedgehog acetyl transferase, events that are necessary for maintenance of growth in soft agar. Lastly, glioblastoma may also be a cancer in which atypical PKC isozymes function as oncoproteins: Ghosh and coworkers showed that high atypical PKC immunoreactivity, detecting primarily PKC ι , correlated with poor disease prognosis in patients with glioblastoma; furthermore, an atypical PKC inhibitor reduced tumor growth in a mouse model of glioblastoma [66]. Whether GOF mutations in cancer occur for the atypical PKC isozymes remains to be determined.

Balancing the Signaling Output of PKC

Although abundant LOF mutations have been identified in cancer, GOF mutations in PKC do occur in disease. However, rather than being associated with survival-promoting pathophysiologies, they occur in degenerative diseases. In addition, these mutations enhance the agonistdependent activation of PKC (for example, by loosening autoinhibitory constraints) rather than cause constitutive activation. This is unsurprising as mutations that lock PKC in the active conformation would be expected to have the paradoxical effect of being LOF because they would destabilize the enzyme and shunt it to degradation. In particular, germline mutations that enhance the activity of PKC have been identified in neurodegenerative diseases: activityenhancing mutations in PKCy cause spinocerebellar ataxia [67] and activity-enhancing variants in PKC α cosegregate with affected individuals in families with Alzheimer's disease [68]. Additionally, a polymorphism in PKCn that enhances its activity is associated with increased risk for cerebral infarction (stroke) [69], arthritis [70], and severe gastric atrophy [71]. This underscores the importance of precisely controlling the signaling output of PKC to control the balance between survival and degeneration: too little activity promotes a survival advantage whereas too much activity promotes degeneration. In cancer loss of PKC function confers a survival advantage by removing the brakes to oncogenic signaling, and in degenerative disease enhanced PKC function promotes cell death.

Concluding Remarks

Targeting tumor suppressors in cancer is a major challenge. In the case of PKC, it would involve finding small molecules to stabilize the active conformation of the enzyme without promoting its downregulation (see Outstanding Questions). In this regard the ability of oral administration of the weak PKC activator prostratin to reduce tumor volume in mouse xenograft studies of pancreatic cancer lends promise to this therapeutic avenue [31]. Similarly, another diterpene

Outstanding Questions

Will germline LOF mutations in PKC isozymes be associated with other hereditary diseases associated with increased proliferative or cell survival phenotypes? Along these lines, are individuals with heterozygous germline LOF mutations predisposed to development of cancer at an earlier age?

Are there contexts where PKC isozymes are oncogenes? Truncating events are correlated with and supportive of a tumor suppressive role for PKC isozymes in cancer; however, truncating events are rare for the atypical isoform PKC ζ . Does this suggest that PKC ζ may be an outlier and have an oncogenic role in cancer?

Will neomorphic mutations occur in PKC isozymes, wherein mutations result in loss of signaling in canonical PKC signaling pathways but new GOFs arise that regulate unique downstream signaling pathways? Some mutations in substrate-binding regions of PKC PKC isozymes suggest that this will be the case.

Can therapies be developed to enhance PKC signaling output without promoting downregulation? Recent studies using prostratin indicate that this may be possible. Furthermore, structural information and molecular modeling should aid in the development of more efficacious and isozyme-specific PKC agonists that could, for example, enhance signaling by PKC by decreasing intramolecular contacts that mediate autoinhibition.

How important are the dominant-negative effects of PKC mutants and how does this impact the signaling from other PKC isozymes and AKT, which is also regulated by some of the common titratable elements that regulate PKC, such as PDK-1 and mTORC2? What mechanisms underpin the observed dominant-negative effects? with significantly lower potency than phorbol esters, ingenol 3-acetate [72], shows promise as a therapeutic in skin cancers, where expression of PKC (notably PKCB) is frequently reduced [73]. Alternatively, modulating the activity of enzymes that control the steady-state levels of PKC in the cell might be another mechanism to promote PKC signaling. It should be noted that the dominant role of PKC isozymes in suppressing survival signaling suggests caution in targeting proteins that also regulate PKC steady-state levels. Notably, mTOR inhibitors [74] and Hsp90 inhibitors [75], currently in use in the clinic, prevent processing of PKC [76,77]. Thus, these drugs will have the detrimental effect of removing the tumor suppressive function of PKC. Innovative approaches to restore PKC activity, coupled to chemotherapeutics targeted towards the primary oncogenic drivers, are likely to increase the efficacy of cancer therapies. For example, K-RAS-driven cancers that harbor mutations in PKC are likely to respond well to therapies that enhance or stabilize PKC [31]. With the advent of personalized medicine, patients with LOF mutations in PKC isozymes or low levels of PKC expression in specific cancers will be particularly good candidates for approaches to restore PKC activity.

Acknowledaments

The authors thank Andrew Hudson for advice on bioinformatics analysis. This work was supported by NIH GM 43154 (A.C. N.), the National Cancer Institute, under project number ZIA BC 011691 (J.B.), and Cancer Research UK (J.B.).

References

- dynamics. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 11, 103-112
- 2. Newton, A.C. (2010) Protein kinase C: poised to signal. Am. J. Physiol, Endocrinol, Metab. 298, E395-E402
- 3. Griner, F.M. and Kazanietz, M.G. (2007) Protein kinase C and other diacylglycerol effectors in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 7, 281-294
- 4. Battaini, F. and Mochly-Rosen, D. (2007) Happy birthday protein kinase C: past, present and future of a superfamily. Pharmacol. Res. 55, 461-466
- 5. Tobias, I.S. and Newton, A.C. (2016) Protein scaffolds control localized protein kinase Ct activity, J. Biol. Chem. 291, 13809-13822
- 6. Antal, C.E. and Newton, A.C. (2014) Tuning the signalling output of protein kinase C. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 42, 1477-1483
- 7. Antal, C.E. et al. (2015) Intramolecular C2 domain-mediated autoinhibition of protein kinase C ßII. Cell Rep. 12, 1252-1260
- 8. Blumberg, P.M. et al. (1983) Phorbol ester receptors and the in vitro effects of tumor promoters. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 407, 303-315
- 9. Driedger, P.E. and Blumberg, P.M. (1980) Specific binding of phorbol ester tumor promoters. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 77, 567-571
- phospholipid-dependent protein kinase by tumor-promoting phorbol esters. J. Biol. Chem. 257, 7847-7851
- 11. Mackay, H.J. and Twelves, C.J. (2007) Targeting the protein kinase C family: are we there yet? Nat. Rev. Cancer 7, 554-562
- 12. Zhang, L.L. et al. (2015) The protein kinase C (PKC) inhibitors combined with chemotherapy in the treatment of advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin. Transl. Oncol. 17, 371-377
- 13. Jaken, S. et al. (1981) Characterization of phorbol ester receptors and their down-modulation in GH4C1 rat pituitary cells. Cancer Res. 41, 2175-2181
- 14. de Vries, D.J. et al. (1988) Demonstration of sub-nanomolar affinity of bryostatin 1 for the phorbol ester receptor in rat brain. Biochem. Pharmacol. 37, 4069-4073
- bryostatin-1 in patients with advanced malignancies. Cancer Biol. Ther. 1, 409-416
- 16. Kazanietz, M.G. (2000) Eyes wide shut: protein kinase C iso- 32. Hunter, T. et al. (1984) Protein kinase C phosphorylation of the zymes are not the only receptors for the phorbol ester tumor promoters. Mol. Carcinog. 28, 5-11

- 1. Rosse, C. et al. (2010) PKC and the control of localized signal 17. Antal, C.E. et al. (2015) Cancer-associated protein kinase C mutations reveal kinase's role as tumor suppressor. Cell 160, 489-502
 - 18. Gao, J. et al. (2013) Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. Sci. Signal. 6, pl1
 - 19. Meharena, H.S. et al. (2013) Deciphering the structural basis of eukaryotic protein kinase regulation. PLoS Biol. 11, e1001680
 - 20. McSkimming, D.I. et al. (2016) KinView: a visual comparative sequence analysis tool for integrated kinome research. Mol. Biosvst. 12, 3651-3665
 - 21. Creixell, P. et al. (2015) Kinome-wide decoding of networkattacking mutations rewiring cancer signaling. Cell 163, 202-217
 - 22. Oren, M. and Rotter, V. (2010) Mutant p53 gain-of-function in cancer. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2, a001107
 - 23. Muller, P.A. and Vousden, K.H. (2013) p53 mutations in cancer. Nat. Cell Biol. 15, 2-8
 - 24. Dittmer, D. et al. (1993) Gain of function mutations in p53. Nat. Genet. 4, 42-46
 - 25. Chen, Z. et al. (2015) Signalling thresholds and negative B-cell selection in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature 521, 357-361
- 10. Castagna, M. et al. (1982) Direct activation of calcium-activated, 26. Garcia-Paramio, P. (1998) The broad specificity of dominant inhibitory protein kinase C mutants infers a common step in phosphorylation. Biochem. J. 333, 631-636
 - 27. Hein, M.Y. et al. (2015) A human interactome in three guantitative dimensions organized by stoichiometries and abundances. Cell 163, 712-723
 - 28. Bivona, T.G. et al. (2006) PKC regulates a famesyl-electrostatic switch on K-Ras that promotes its association with Bcl-XL on mitochondria and induces apoptosis. Mol. Cell 21, 481-493
 - 29. Barcelo, C. et al. (2014) Phosphorylation at Ser-181 of oncogenic KRAS is required for tumor growth. Cancer Res. 74, 1190-1199
 - 30. Szallasi, Z. and Blumberg, P.M. (1991) Prostratin, a nonpromoting phorbol ester, inhibits induction by phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate of ornithine decarboxylase, edema, and hyperplasia in CD-1 mouse skin. Cancer Res. 51, 5355-5360
- 15. Marshall, J.L. et al. (2002) Phase I study of prolonged infusion 31. Wang, M.T. et al. (2015) K-Ras promotes tumorigenicity through suppression of non-canonical Wnt signaling. Cell 163, 1237-1251
 - EGF receptor at a threonine residue close to the cytoplasmic face of the plasma membrane. Nature 311, 480-483

- 33. Milani, G. et al. (2014) Low PKCa expression within the MRD-HR 56. Hafeez, B.B. et al. (2011) Genetic ablation of PKC epsilon inhibits stratum defines a new subgroup of childhood T-ALL with very poor outcome. Oncotarget 5, 5234-5245
- 34. Dowling, C.M. et al. (2016) Protein kinase C beta II suppresses colorectal cancer by regulating IGF-1 mediated cell survival. Oncotarget 7, 20919-20933
- 35. Koren, R. et al. (2000) Protein kinase C (PKC) isoenzymes immunohistochemistry in lymph node revealing solution-fixed, paraffinembedded bladder tumors. Appl. Immunohistochem. Mol. Morphol. 8, 166-171
- 36. Langzam, L. et al. (2001) Patterns of protein kinase C isoenzyme expression in transitional cell carcinoma of bladder. Relation to degree of malignancy. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 116, 377-385
- 37. Varga, A. et al. (2004) Tumor grade-dependent alterations in the protein kinase C isoform pattern in urinary bladder carcinomas. Eur. Urol. 46, 462-465
- 38. Prevostel, C. et al. (1995) The natural protein kinase C alpha mutant is present in human thyroid neoplasms. Oncogene 11, 669-674
- 39. Saxon, M.L. et al. (1994) Activation of protein kinase C isozymes is associated with post-mitotic events in intestinal epithelial cells in situ. J. Cell Biol. 126, 747-763
- 40. Frey, M.R. et al. (1997) Protein kinase C isozyme-mediated cell cycle arrest involves induction of p21^{waf1/cip1} and p27^{kip1} and hypophosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein in intestinal epithelial cells. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 9424-9435
- 41. Suga, K. et al. (1998) Down-regulation of protein kinase C-α detected in human colorectal cancer. Biochem. Mol. Biol. Int. 44, 523-528
- 42. Oster, H. and Leitges, M. (2006) Protein kinase C alpha but not PKC₂ suppresses intestinal tumor formation in Apc^{Min/+} mice. Cancer Res. 66, 6955-6963
- 43. Garg, R. et al. (2014) Protein kinase C and cancer: what we know and what we do not. Oncogene 33, 5225-5237
- 44. Payne, S.R. and Kemp, C.J. (2005) Tumor suppressor genetics. Carcinogenesis 26, 2031-2045
- 45. Sanchez-Cespedes, M. (2007) A role for LKB1 gene in human cancer beyond the Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. Oncogene 26, 7825-7832
- 46. Hollander, M.C. et al. (2011) PTEN loss in the continuum of common cancers, rare syndromes and mouse models. Nat. Rev. Cancer 11, 289-301
- 47. Belot, A. et al. (2013) Protein kinase Cδ deficiency causes Mendelian systemic lupus erythematosus with B cell-defective apoptosis and hyperproliferation. Arthritis Rheum. 65, 2161-2171
- 48. Kuehn, H.S. et al. (2013) Loss-of-function of the protein kinase C delta (PKC8) causes a B-cell lymphoproliferative syndrome in humans, Blood 121, 3117-3125
- 49. Salzer, E. et al. (2013) B-cell deficiency and severe autoimmunity caused by deficiency of protein kinase C delta, Blood 121, 3112-3116
- 50. Kiykim, A. et al. (2015) Potentially beneficial effect of hydroxychloroquine in a patient with a novel mutation in protein kinase $C\delta$ deficiency. J. Clin. Immunol. 35, 523-526
- 51. Bernatsky, S. et al. (2005) An international cohort study of cancer in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 52, 1481-1490
- 52. Kataoka, K. et al. (2015) Integrated molecular analysis of adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma. Nat. Genet. 47, 1304-1315
- 53. Basu, A. and Pal, D. (2010) Two faces of protein kinase C\delta: the contrasting roles of PKC(in cell survival and cell death. Scientific World Journal 10, 2272-2284
- 54. Mauro, L.V. et al. (2010) PKC delta (PKC8) promotes tumoral progression of human ductal pancreatic cancer. Pancreas 39, e31-e41
- 55, Symonds, J.M. et al. (2011) Protein kinase C delta is a downstream effector of oncogenic K-ras in lung tumors. Cancer Res. 71, 2087-2097

prostate cancer development and metastasis in transgenic mouse model of prostate adenocarcinoma. Cancer Res. 71. 2318-2327

CelPress

- 57, Allen-Petersen, B.L. et al. (2014) Protein kinase Cδ is required for ErbB2-driven mammary gland tumorigenesis and negatively correlates with prognosis in human breast cancer. Oncogene 33, 1306-1315
- 58. McKiernan, E. et al. (2008) Protein kinase Cδ expression in breast cancer as measured by real-time PCR, western blotting and ELISA. Br. J. Cancer 99, 1644-1650
- 59. Graybill, C. et al. (2012) Partitioning-defective protein 6 (Par-6) activates atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) by pseudosubstrate displacement. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 21003-21011
- 60. Tsai, L.C. et al. (2015) Zeta inhibitory peptide disrupts electrostatic interactions that maintain atvoical protein kinase C in its active conformation on the scaffold p62, J. Biol. Chem. 290. 21845-21856
- 61. Galvez, A.S. et al. (2009) Protein kinase Cζ represses the interleukin-6 promoter and impairs tumorigenesis in vivo. Mol. Cell. Biol. 29, 104-115
- 62. Linch, M. et al. (2013) A cancer-associated mutation in atypical protein kinase CL occurs in a substrate-specific recruitment motif. Sci. Signal. 6, ra82
- 63. Parker, P.J. et al. (2014) Atypical protein kinase CL as a human oncogene and therapeutic target. Biochem. Pharmacol. 88, 1-11
- 64. Justilien, V. et al. (2014) The PRKCI and SOX2 oncogenes are coamplified and cooperate to activate hedgehog signaling in lung squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Cell 25, 139-151
- 65. Ali, S.A. et al. (2016) Protein kinase CL drives a NOTCH3-dependent stem-like phenotype in mutant KRAS lung adenocarcinoma. Cancer Cell 29, 367-378
- 66. Kusne, Y. et al. (2014) Targeting aPKC disables oncogenic signaling by both the EGFR and the proinflammatory cytokine $\text{TNF}\alpha$ in glioblastoma. Sci. Signal. 7, ra75
- 67, Verbeek, D.S. et al. (2008) PKC gamma mutations in spinocerebellar ataxia type 14 affect C1 domain accessibility and kinase activity leading to aberrant MAPK signaling. J. Cell Sci. 121, 2339-2349
- 68. Alfonso, S.I. et al. (2016) Gain-of-function mutations in protein kinase $\mathsf{C}\alpha$ (PKC $\alpha)$ may promote synaptic defects in Alzheimer's disease. Sci. Signal. 9, ra47
- 69. Kubo, M. et al. (2007) A nonsynonymous SNP in PRKCH (protein kinase C eta) increases the risk of cerebral infarction. Nat. Genet. 39. 212-217
- 70. Takata, Y. et al. (2007) Genetic association between the PRKCH gene encoding protein kinase Cn isozyme and rheumatoid arthritis in the Japanese population. Arthritis Rheum. 56, 30 - 42
- 71. Goto, Y. et al. (2010) PRKCH gene polymorphism is associated with the risk of severe gastric atrophy. Gastric Cancer 13, 90-94
- 72., Kedei, N. et al. (2004) Characterization of the interaction of ingenol 3-angelate with protein kinase C. Cancer Res. 64 (9), 3243-3255
- 73. Denning, M.F. (2012) Specifying protein kinase C functions in melanoma, Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 25 (4), 466-476
- 74. Don, A.S. and Zheng, X.F. (2011) Recent clinical trials of mTORtargeted cancer therapies. Rev. Recent Clin. Trials 6, 24-35
- 75. Neckers, L. and Workman, P. (2012) Hsp90 molecular chaperone inhibitors: are we there yet? Clin Cancer Res. 18, 64-76
- 76. Gould, C.M. et al. (2009) The chaperones Hsp90 and Cdc37 mediate the maturation and stabilization of protein kinase C through a conserved PXXP motif in the C-terminal tail. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 4921-4935
- 77. Guertin, D.A. et al. (2006) Ablation in mice of the mTORC components raptor, rictor, or mLST8 reveals that mTORC2 is required for signaling to Akt-FOXO and PKCa, but not S6K1. Dev. Cell 11, 859-871